AOTHOR
TITLE
POB DATE
NOTE

EDRS PRICE DRSCRIPTORS

IPENTIPIERS

Webster, James; Coscarelli, William C.
Preferences and Policy: Some Data for Policy.
Decisions in Children's Television Programing.
Aug 78
19p.; paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Association for education in Journalise (61st, Seattle, Washington, August 13-16, 1978)

MF-\$0.83 HC- $\$ 1.67$ Plus Postage. $\therefore$
Childhood Interests; *Childrens Television: Elementary Education; *Policy Formation; *Programing" (Broadcast): *Student Interests: Television Surveys: *Television Viewing *Audience Preference.

## ABSTRACT

To determine if children watch adult programs on veekday prime-time television because more suitable age-specific progranming is unavailable, a study was conducted to assess children's viewing preferences using a forced-choice instrument. After a pilot study established the validity of the instrunent, four popular children's programs were systematically pitted against early ,prime-time network programs in a guestionnaire administered to 308 third and fifth grade students. The overwhelming majority of respohdents indicated a preference for their favorite adult prograns even when children's prograns were available. When a preferred adult progran was unavailable to the child, however, the relative appeal of children's'programang increased markedly. The results suggest that even popular children's prograns would have trouble'conpeting far the child audience against adult programs in prime time. purther, since much. weekday afternoon programming is off-network adult television, children's programing would face similar difficulties attracting an audience if scheduled during that time. (PL)
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Critics of commercial children's television have asserted that contemporary program scheduling practices force the wiekday children's audience to watch mosuttable adult prograning. . Scheduling reforms have been proposed based in. part on the prenise that, given a choice, children would prefar to watch programing designed for them. Two studies were conducted to: 1) determine the validity of a forced choice preference questionnaire in assessing children's television preferences and, 2) assesis children's preferences via a forced choice inatrument.

After the validity of a forced choice instrument was determined fout popuhar, children's programs were syatenatically pitted against early prime time network programs in a questionnaire adninistered to 308 third and fifth gyede respondents.. The overvhelning najority ofi respon-dents-indicated a preference for their favorite adult program even when children's program were aqailable as alternative viewing options. Some programing policy questions were also disclussed in light of this data.
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## PRBFERENCES AND POLICY: SOMR DATA

FOR POLICI DECISIOAS IN CAILDREA'S TBLEVISION PROCRAMMING*

In recent years, comercial broadcasters have tended to confine their schedule of children's television programing to Saturday and Sunday momings. Critics of this scheduling practice have argued that the segre-. gation of adult and children's television leaves the large weekday children's avaience with no alternative to watching'unsuitable adult telaviaion programs.

To remedy this and other perceived problems with children's television, Action for Children's Television (ACT), in 1971, proposed that the Federal Commácations Comassion (FCC) adopt several guidelines concerning the quality, quantity and availability of children's television. Among these was the specific recomendation that broadcast 11 censes be required to provide age-specific children's programing at"various times throughout the week, including early prime time.

Broadcasters typically took issue with this suggestion and defended their programing practices on the grounds that they already presented programs which children found entertaining and enjoyable. Among these they - Included many "fanily" programs, such as situation comedies.

ACT, nevertheless, mafntained that offering fainily programs was not an acceptable substitute for scheduling age-specific children's prograng. While ACT acknowledged the appeal that family and adult programs had for children, they attributed this to the absence of more suitabie progran

[^0]alternatives, The concern they expressed was that "the schedule of what (was) being offered (was) so weighted against weekday viewing that the child (was) left little or no choice but to watch a program which was not deaigned for him."l

The Children's Television Report and Policy Statement, issued in 1974, recognized "the great overall imbalance" in the scheduling of children's programs, but it stopped short of adopting strict scheduling guidelines: 2 The Cominission's action was subsequently upheld by the U.S. Court of Appeals in Washingtop D.C. ${ }^{3}$
, Despite their failure to win definitive program scheduling guidelines, critics of the status quo continue to press for more active government supervision of children's television. Indeed, the new FCC Chairman Charles Ferris, has recently expressed an interest in reviewing Commission policies with respect to children's television.

The'argument for instituting scheduling guidelines, similar to those proposed by ACT, rests in part on the assumptiontthat children would prefer to watch programs designed specifically for them if such programs were scheduled at times when they would cor ete for the bild audience with fanily of adult television. However, this assumption has remained largely untested.

Children do not typically exercise exclusive control of family. television sets, consequently, ratings data do not provide an adequate measure of children's program preferences. Additionally, current program scheduling practices tend not to place adult and chifdren's programs in competition for the child audience. As a result, real world observations and ylewing records cannot resolve the policy question at issue.

If-1t. can be demonstrated that chlldren would prefer to watch programing deaigned for them, given the opportunity to do so, the arguments advanced by ACT should gain credibility. If however, children indicaṭe strong preference for adult or family programing even when childrents programs are available, then a policy enforcing such availabilities would have to be justified on some basis. other thán "market demand." In elthor case, research which addresses this issue should form the basis for mord rational policy, maicing.

## Methodological Abide

The reaearcher attempting, to address the; issues of children's. 'preferences in'television programming'faces the formiable task of accut ately assessing children's television prefeŕnces. Lf a researcher cound 1dentify naturalistic settings, 1.e. those where a.children's show was pitted against an adult show heror she might be able' to identify prefert ences. Alternatively aplit cable arrangement mogh be used to that programs could be manipulated in the desired manner; the former represedts a linited opportunity as such sftuations are not likely to occur due to comercial pressures; the latter an expensive and time' consuming manipuiation: Ideally the researcher would want an inexpensive and valid methodology that ${ }^{\prime}$ ould allow maximu fólexibility "in manipulation. a paper and pencil self report form nould seem to be a satisfactory solution to this problem if self report preferences correlated highly with a behavioral observation.

However the literature reports of ten contradictory findings on the general issue of self report vaildity: falsh (1967) reviewed 27 studied on the validity of interview data. Of those 27,13 gave an impression of high validity, nine report low validity, and the remaining; five are ambiguous in their results. Bechtel, Achepohl, and Akers (19.72) monitored the self
report behaviors of 20 families in the Kansas City area and compared these reports to actual video-taped viewing behaviors. (Cameras were placed in the home to record viewing behaviors.) They reported a consistent trend in overreporting programs viewed as well as overreporting estimates of average daily viewing.

It appears, thẹ, that the researcher wishing to use a self report form in assessing children's preferences cannot' assume this report will mirror the actual viewing response. No evidence has been reported on this methodological issue in assessing children's preferences; yet it should be a serfoua concern to the researcher.

Our original intentionwas to make a determination of the relative appeal to childret of adult versus children's programs. Through a series of forced choice preference items which systematically pitted well known adult and children's TV programs against one another. Third and fifth grade respondents would thus be confronted with a number of "make believe" choice situations similar to those which might confront young television viewers given the adoption of scheduling reforms. The frequency with which these respondents chose children's programs in favor of their aduht competition constituted a measure of their relative appeal. How zer, as an examination of several self report studies offered contradictory findings, a pilot study heas proposed to assess the degree of congruency between children's television preferences as measured by paper and pencil methodology and a more realistic viewing situation.

## Pilot Study

In the Fall season, 1976, fifty third graders and forty-six fifth graders from a midwestem catholic grade schc $\rightarrow 1$ were randomly assigned to each of the tivo treatment groups. Students assfgaed to the videotape group individually
viewed four edited segments of three real world prime time shows, e. g. Captain and Tenille, Rhoda, and Little House on the Prairie constituted one segment. Four childiren's television shows, Pink Panther, Cosby Rids, Bugs Bunny, and Land of the Lost were randomly inserted into the original arrays (for a total of eight segments) e. g. Captain and Tenille, Rhoda, and Land of the Lost. The children were asked to choose a preferred show.for viewing at the end of each segment and the preferences recorded. Students asaigned to the paper and pencil group received a one page sheet and were asked to select their preferences for the same arrays as the video tape group. Figure 1 illustrates the procedure for each treatment group.

| \% | QUESTIONKAIRE | VIDEOTAPE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The child is presented: | i. Captain <br> Little <br> Rhoda - and <br> House Tenille | 1. A video tape segment of the Introduction to Captain 8 Tenille, Ifttle Housea on the Prairie, and Rhoda. |
| The child is instructed | Mark and "X" through the box that has the TV program you want to watch. | Which of these shows would you like to watch now? |
| The process is repeated: | Each of the eight segments. | Bach of the eight segments. |

Figure 1

## RESULTS

The chlldren ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~s}$ responses were tabulated to deternine the frequency of responses found in each viewing segment.

Uaing a chi-square analysi's it was determined that no significant difference existed between treatment groups at either the third grade or fifth grade level. In addition, the correlation between the video group and the questionnalre group was determined by treating each show as an individual and using the frequency as a means of assigning a score. For third graders the correlation was $\mathbf{. 9 0}$, for the fifth graders the correlation was .80 .

## DISCUSSION/CONCLUSIONS

While the purist could argue that our video treatment and observations therein are not true behavioral observations we belleve that the treatment does closely approximate the normal viewing situation. The experimenters were careful to avoid any covert cuing to the students regarding particular selections. The children wereled to belleve that they would have a chance to view the show of their preference. At the end of the testing session it was explained that due to time constraints we wouldn't be able to see show and apologized. This was done inth parental awareness and no child was overly dienayed.

For the researcher these results suggest that a aimple questionnaire 1s an acceptable alternative to more intricate and time consuming methodologies in deteraining children's television preferences. Furthermore, an anfilyais of the responses indicated a preference for adult prime time shove in almost all cases.

## Preference Study

As the results of the pilot supported the use of the paper and pencil form for determining children's preferences, the questionnaire was expanded and given to a larger sample. The selection of programs for use in the questionnaire, the questionnaire's design, the method of test administration and the sample are deactibed below.

## Program Selection

As Schrampa, Lyle and Parker have observed, "It is clear that there is no distinct boundary between adult and children's programs, except at the extreme ends of the continuum. 44 Nevertheless, such distinctions are conmonly made. This" study did not attempt to identify children's and adult programing on the basis of specific content characteristics. Rather, it relied on widely shared intuitive categorizations to make aduft versus chidren's program distinctions.

Adult programs. The adult programs used in the questioniaire were all network shows drawn from the "family viewing" time period (8-9 P.M. E.S.T.) in the fall of 1976. Programs were selected in the family viewing time forr number of reasons. First, they are by definition, programs designed to appeal not specifically to children, but to the entire family. Second, the commonly employed programing technique of "stripping" the same show across five weekday afternoons mandated the use of prime time, rather than afternoon, programing in order to insure, an adequate variety of adult television fare. Third, because questionnaires were to be administered in sites under the influence of different television markets, programs in the questionnaire had to be regularly broadcast in those markets. This requirement preciuded the use of programs shown on independent and public television stationa

- Pinally, the programs in the 8 to 9 P.M. period (7 to 8 P.M. C.S.T.) have a particular policy relevance, since they fall within the time period duringewich ACT recommended that programing designed specifically for six to aine and ten to twelve year-olds be offered.

To prevent the questionnaire from becoming too lengthy and fatiguing for the children, it was decided that no more than 12 adult programs, three network shows on four nights, should be included in the questionnaire. The 12 programs then, were network offerings at 8:00 P.M. on Monday, Tuesday, Friday and Saturday nights. These four evenings included two "school nights" 'and two non-school nights. Additionally, they offered the best balance of different program types: three variety shows, faur drama/adventure shows, and five situation comedies. Each evening's schedule included two estab1ished programs and one program premiaring that fall.

Children's programs. Four children's programs were selected for use in the questionnalre. These programs wet two basic criteria. First, all had long and substantial ratings histories relative to other chilidren's programs. All four prograns were among the top three rated children's shows on one of the three networks during the 1975-76 season. ${ }^{5}$ This criterion was established to maximize the piobability that respondents would be sufficiently familiar with these programs to make meaningful preference 'selections. It should be noted, however, that this stipulation precluded the use of public television programs. Second, all'children's programs had to be regularly scheduled in the fall of 1976. Again, this was done to promote program familiarity.

To insure some diversity in this limited sample of children's programs, 1976 program evaluation published by the National Association for Better Broadcasting (NABB) were used to identify two programs recommended for child
viewing and two programs not recommended. The four programs respectively vere: Fat Albert and the Cosby RIds, Pink Panther, Land of the Lost and Bugs Bunny/Road Runner. Questionnaire Design

The questionaire was divided into two sections. The first ascertained the child's bedtime, frequency of television watching, control of the TV set, and programs usually viewed during family hour. The second section, which comprised the bulk of the questionnaire, contained the forced choice preference items.

The preference items systematically substituted each of the four. children's programs for one of the three network programs in each night's schedule, as if a regularly scheduled adult program had been pre-empted by a children's program. These items appeared on the questionnaire as a row of four boxes shaped 1ike TV sets (as per the pilot). The three left boxes on the left side of each row contained TV program titles. The right hand box was blank. The process of substituting children's programs into the family viewing schedule generated a total'of 48 hypothetical program arrays. In addition to these hypothetical items, four "real world" arrays consisting of the three network options on each night were included to provide baseline preference data.

The order of the programs within the 13 preference items ( 12 hypothetical and one real world) for each night was systematically varied so each children's program appeared in the first, second and third position only once, and each prime time program appeared in the first, eecond, and third position three times.

The preference items were then organized into groups of four (one each from Monday, Tuesday, Friday and Saturday). One of the 13 items for
each night fas randomly selected for inclusion in the firat group of four. This process was repeated in cycles of four until all preference items were exhausted.

Four duplicate items were included at the end of the questionnnaire as a check on the internal consistency of a child's responses. These items simply duplicated preference items that the child would have encountered previously in the questionnnaire.

Finally, a second version of the questionnalre, in which the order of the 56 preference items was reversed was constructed. The second version of the questionnaire was intended to test for possible ordering effects. Test Adminiatration

Questionnaires were group administered to classes of third and fifth grade children. These two grade levels represented the latter two of three age categorizations identified by ACT for recomended age-specific programing.

The test administrator "walked" the children through the first section of the questionnaire, reading items aloud, and elaborating on the items as necessary.

After the entire class had comple ed the first section, the test administrator introduced the children to the first preference item, which was the same on both versions of the questionnaire. Children were instructed to pretend that these were the only three programs on at a given time and to indicate with an " X " which of the available programs each would most like to watch. A child could indicate that $s$ /he would watch none of the available options by placing an " $x$ " in the blank box on the right.

After completing the first item, the children were instructed to similarly indicate a preferred program in each of the remaining items.

Children were allowed to proceed at their own pace, with' the caution that they were to do their own work and not to look at their "neighbor's" -. answers. The questionnaires typically took 12 minutes to complete.

## Subjects

Data were collected during the week of November 15, 1976 at two public schools in the Indianapolis and Cincinnati television markets. Approximately equal proportions of third and fifth graders came from each test site.

After eliminating $1 f$ respondents who reported bedtimes prior to featly hour, a sample of 143 third graders and 165 fifth graders was obtained. Fifty-three percent of the respondents were male, and 47 percent were female. Ninety-one percent of the respondents were white and nine percent were black.

Results


3

The vast majority of respond gte reported that they watched television almost every/ night. Further, almost all respondents indicated that they had some voice in deciding. what TV program they watched.

Responses to items which ascertained programs usually watched during family hour on three nights of the week were, in effect, a type of aided recall viewing record. The nine programs involved were rank ordered on the basie of the total number of children in each test site who indicated audience membership of each program. ${ }^{6}$.Audience ratings data for the fincinnati and Indianapolis television markets, which encompassed both test sites, were obtained from the American Research Bureau (ARB). The total number of audience members ARB report fin the two to eleven year old category was similarly used to rank order these nine programs." Rank order correlations between the sample data and the ARB data were high for both markets (p or.93, In each market).

Responses to the three viewing items were highly associated with the thrte preference items which contained the same progran options. Crosstabulations for each preference item by its viewing time counterpart produced three chi-squares significant at less than .01. In other words, reported program preferences were highly consistent with reported program viewing.

The percentage of agreement on the four pairs of duplicate preference items ranged from 72 to 92 percent. The responses of fifth graders were slightly more consiatent than those of third graders.
The Pollcy Question
The essential question asked by this research is: Do children watch adult programs because more autable children's programing is unavailable to them? In other words, döes the child watch an adult program because it is the least objectionable option $s /$ he has?.

The questionnaire included four items which ascertained the respondent's preferred program among the network options on each of four nights of the week. with this information it was deternined whether the hypothetical progrin arrays, which included a children's progran (CIV), also included the respondent's preferred ddult'program (AVT). The respondent, In other woite, indicated a program preference under one of two conditions:

1) the inftially preferred adult program was avallable as a response option, and 2) the initially preferred adult program was not available. By counting the number of times a child selected a children's progran, an interval measpre score was assigned to each child in each condition. Scores in the firat condition werre divided by two to provide, comparable score ranges in both conditions. Uaing grade level as one factor (A) and avallability of preferred adult progra a repeated measures'factor (B),
a $2 \times 2$ analysis of variance was performed. Table 1 presents the results.
table 1
dLfferences in ter nunber of CTvi selections WITH TEE PRBFBRRED ADULT PBOGRAM AVAILABLE AND URAVAILABLE.



## D1scussion

Through the use of a pilot, the research methodology employed in this study was judged to be the best practicable way of measuring the program preferences of childrea.

有
t could' be argued that the four children's programs used in the questionnaire do not truly represent "age-specific" programing. It might also be argued that true age-specific programing would by definition appeal to children of the appropriate ages. Such an assertion, however, begs the question. The fact of the matter is that no programs which cater to childifen lof specific ages and which met the basic criteria for use in the questionnaire, exist. Consequently, the children's programs employed here were the best avallablej representatives of children's programing. Bach has enjoyed long and substantial ratings histories. 'Indeed, by design, these programs were among the most popular children's ahows being, broadcast.

The data suggest that even these popular children.'s programs would have trouble competing for the child audience againat adult or fanily programs. It seems unlikely that age-specific informational or entertainment programing would fare any better againet siailar competition. Further, in view of the fact that much afternoon prograning is off-network adult telenision, children's prograning would face similar difficulties attracting an audience if scheduled during that time. Indeed, the problems facing children's programs gre axacerbated by the fact that in home viewing aituations, a child may have to share or relinquish control of the set to older siblinga or parents.

Polics deciatons of the Federal Comunication Comisaion in the area of childrea's programing will hinge on a variety of factors. AcT's proposed sutdeliseg for children's programing involved a nimber issues not addressed In this research. Por examie, ACr's auggeated ban on comercial aponsorship
of 'children's programing was, at least in part," an attempt to relieve broadcasters of the need to maximize audiences. Consequently, a program's. $\therefore$ ability to attract child viewers may be less important than other public* interest concerns. It seems clear, however, that what we traditionally think of as children's prograss would fare poorly if farced to compete with adult programs for the child audience. The consequence of this observation " will have to be maighted by those who decide communications policy.
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